

A flawed co

Reply 1

One might simply accept that determinism implies the logical impossibility of an

(1) ! (P₀&L& God

~! (P₀&L& God does not intervene P)

Naturally, the defender of the Consequence Argument could reply by building in an explicit exception for interventionist demons, and assert that no such demon *actually* intervenes. But one could then rerun the objection in terms of an interventionist angel, etc.

Perhaps the defender will build in an all-purpose exception,

(1) ! (P₀&L&

to rule out *any* way in which $L \& P_0$

Consider, by way of analogy, the concept *permanent bachelor*. Suppose Ruth is an unmarried woman. Does she have the ability to marry a permanent bachelor? In one

